

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,653 11/24/2003		1/24/2003	Kazuo Teshirogi	032131	1182	
38834	7590	12/06/2006		EXAMINER		
WESTERM		GOFF II, JOHN L				
1250 CONN SUITE 700	ECTICUT	AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036				1733	•	
				DATE MAILED: 12/06/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,653	8,653 TESHIROGI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John L. Goff	1733		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON 4 cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No	ovember 2006			
	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allower		ters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-14,16 and 17</u> is/are		ration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 15</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	'TO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Annlication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			al Stage	
application from the International Bureau	•	Troopivod III tillo rtatione	Olugo	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	received.		
	·			
Attachment(s)	∆ , □	Summary (DTO 442)		
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· —	Informal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	_ ·		

Application/Control Number: 10/718,653 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/17/06 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/718,653

Art Unit: 1733

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagatomi et al. (JP 54124968 and the abstract) in view of either one of Inada et al. (JP 06104317 and the abstract) or Shoffner (U.S. Patent 3,749,621), Da Costa (U.S. Patent 3,040,489), either one of Tsunashima et al. (U.S. Patent 5,051,475) or Homma et al. (U.S. Patent 5,336,703), and optionally Pool (U.S. Patent 3,501,128).

Nagatomi et al. disclose a method of manufacturing a semiconductor element comprising providing a semiconductor substrate (3 of Figure 3), placing an adhesive film (4 of Figure 3) on a surface of the semiconductor substrate, providing a cylindrical roller (15 of Figure 3) having a heat-generating part (15c of Figure 3) in a central portion of the roller extending in an axial direction of the roller, pressing the roller heated to a laminating temperature onto the adhesive film, rolling the roller the length of the adhesive film to laminate the adhesive film to the semiconductor substrate, and dividing the semiconductor substrate into a plurality of semiconductor elements (See the abstract). Nagatomi et al. do not disclose the cylindrical roller is formed of metal having an outermost layer of elastically deformable fluoride resin provided thereon. Inada et al. directed to forming a TAB tape, i.e. a tape automated bonding tape which is used to adhere semiconductor elements, teaches pressing the tape with a heated pressing roll comprising a metal body with an outer Teflon, i.e. fluoride resin, layer thereon such that the adhesive of the tape does not stick to the roller (See the abstract). Shoffner discloses a pressing roller for securing a covering wherein the roller comprises a metal body with an outer Teflon, i.e. fluoride resin, layer thereon, e.g. having a thickness of 0.01 to 0.09 in., such that the roller is free of adhesion to other surfaces and is resistant to corrosion (Column 1, lines 43-50 and Column 3, lines 74-75 and Column 4, lines 1-5 and Column 5, lines 21-23). It would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/718,653

Art Unit: 1733

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cover the body of the cylindrical roller taught by Nagatomi et al. with a fluoride resin layer as shown by either one of Inada et al. or Shoffner to laminate the adhesive film without the adhesive sticking to the roller and the roller being resistant to corrosion.

Regarding the limitation of the "roller having a diameter of about 20-50 mm", Nagatomi et al. do not teach any specific diameter for the roller, it being noted Nagatomi et al. are not limited to any particular diameter. Da Costa discloses a cylindrical roller for rolling the length of a film covering a semiconductor substrate for dividing the semiconductor substrate into a plurality of semiconductor elements wherein the roller has a diameter of 32 mm (Figure 4 and Column 2, lines 55-68 and Column 6, lines 18-21). Absent any unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use as the diameter of the roller taught by Nagatomi et al. as modified by either one of Inada et al. or Shoffner those known in the art as capable for pressing the length of a film covering a semiconductor substrate such as that shown by Da Costa.

Regarding the limitation of an "elastically deformable resin layer" and "absorbing unevenness of the outer surface of the roller and unevenness of a surface of the film by elastic deformation of the elastically deformable resin", it is noted Teflon is well taken in the art as an elastically deformable material as shown by Pool (Column 3, lines 33-35). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have readily appreciated that the outer layer of Teflon taught by Nagatomi et al. as modified by either one of Inada et al. or Shoffner is elastically deformable as optionally shown by Pool such that the layer would absorb unevenness of the outer surface of the roller and unevenness of a surface of the film by elastic deformation as

Application/Control Number: 10/718,653

Art Unit: 1733

the elastically deformable outer layer including its thickness is consistent and in agreement with applicants claims and specification (See page 15, lines 16-19 and 34-37) as suitable for absorbing unevenness in this manner.

Regarding the limitation of the "roller presses the film with a pressing load of 10-20N", Nagatomi et al. do not specify any particular pressing load. Nagatomi et al. do not require any particular pressing load and only require pressure bonding the adhesive film with the roller by hand. It is well taken in the art of pressure bonding an adhesive substrate such as a tape to another substrate with a hand roller that a pressing load of up to 50 N may be applied as shown by either one of Tsunashima et al. or Homma et al. (Column 8, lines 40-43 of Tsunashima et al. and Column 13, lines 40-44 of Homma et al.). Absent any unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to experimentally determine the pressing load for the roller in Nagatomi et al. as modified by either one of Inada et al. or Shoffner as a function of adequately applying force for pressure bonding the adhesive film as doing so would have required nothing more than ordinary skill and routine experimentation, it being noted the claimed pressing load is easily achieved by a hand roller as shown by either one of Tsunashima et al. or Homma et al.

5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagatomi et al., either one of Inada et al. or Shoffner, Da Costa, either one of Tsunashima et al. or Homma et al., and optionally Pool as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further, in view of the admitted prior art (Specification pages 1-3).

Nagatomi et al., either one of Inada et al. or Shoffner, Da Costa, either one of Tsunashima et al. or Homma et al., and optionally Pool as described above teach all of the

limitations in claim 15 except for a specific teaching of thinning the adhering surface of the semiconductor substrate. The admitted prior art is directed to laminating an adhesive film onto a surface of a semiconductor substrate wherein the semiconductor substrate surface is thinned, e.g. by grinding, prior to lamination (Figure 1 and Page 1, lines 26-33 and Page 2, lines 31-37 and Page 3, lines 1-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in Nagatomi et al. as modified by either one of Inada et al. or Shoffner, Da Costa, either one of Tsunashima et al. or Homma et al., and optionally Pool to include a step of thinning the adhering surface of the semiconductor substrate prior to lamination as shown by the admitted prior art to form semiconductor elements having a reduced thickness.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The new limitations are addressed above.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John L. Goff** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1216**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:15 AM - 3:45 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/718,653

Art Unit: 1733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John L. Goff